

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/857,600	09/10/2001	· Ludo Adriaensen	016782-0230	6512
22428 7	590 06/17/2005	EXAMINER		INER
FOLEY AND LARDNER			GRAY, JILL M	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			1774	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The rejection of claims 20, 22, 23, 24, 32 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite is most in view of applicant's amendments.

The inadvertent omission of claim 27 from the rejection in the prior Office Action as been noted. Any inconveniences caused applicants are regretted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26-30 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takazawa et al 4,774,105 (Takazawa) in view of Hiromori et al 4,791,025 (Hiromori), Kotera et al 4,340,519 (Kotera), Creps 4,358,887, Pennisi, "Hot Dip Galvanizing" and "Hardware" product sheets, wherein Creps, Pennisi and Hardware are cited to show the state of the art, all for reasons of record; further in view of Moriga, 5,677,053.

Takazawa, Hiromori, Kotera, Creps, Pennisi and "Hardware" are all as set forth previously, but do not specifically teach polyester terephthalate, polybutylene terephthalate or polyethylene naphthenate per claim 27 and 28. In this regard, the usage of polyester as a protective coating or corrosion resistant coating is well known in the art as evidenced by the cited prior art. It would have been obvious to the skilled

Application/Control Number: 09/857,600

Art Unit: 1774

artisan to use any polyester known to the art with the reasonable expectation of success of obtaining a protective corrosion resistant coating. More specifically, in the absence of factual evidence of record of superior or unexpected properties of the coated steel wire, said properties being directly related to the specific polyester, e.g. polyethylene terephthalate, this limitation is not construed to be a matter of invention. Moreover, polyethylene terephthalate is known as a protective coating. See Moriga. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a known material for its art recognized purpose. Applicant's remark regarding the number of references has been noted. Applicant's attention is directed to MPEP 2145 V.

Therefore, the combined teachings of Takazawa, Hiromori, Kotera, Creps, Pennisi, Moriga and "Hardware" would have rendered obvious the invention as claimed in present claims 26-30 and 39.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26-30 and 39 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim 31 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 20-24 and 32-38 are allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. M. Gray whose telephone number is (571) 272-1524.

Art Unit: 1774

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 10:30 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J.M. Gray/dh June 1, 2005